## Proposals for Capital Projects Greater than £25,000 (For inclusion in the draft Capital Programme for the financial years 2010/11 – 2014/15)

1	Service	HOUSING GRANTS  BALE DODDINGON								
2	Service Manager Brief Details of	DALE ROBINSON								
3	Proposal	DISABLED FACILITIES LOANS								
	4. Costs (All £000s)	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Total gross cost			
	ancial Year in which	10	40	10	10	40	50			
_	enditure is expected to neurred		10			10	50			
DC I	What is the estimated	60+ years								
5	life expectancy of the									
	asset related to the									
	proposal? What benefit will	LINKED TO DISABLED FACILITIES GRANTS, WOULD ALLOW ADDITIONAL COSTS TO								
	service users or	COMPLETE WORKS								
6	residents experience									
	as a result of the									
	expenditure?									
7	How many	2 MAX PER YEAR								
	individuals/properties will benefit from the									
	expenditure?									
8	What evidence is there	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEYS.								
	of public, tenant	PSHCS IDENTIFIES 4,300 ADAPTATIONS REQUIRED IN THE DISTRICT.								
	and/or user support									
	for the proposal? Which of the 2008/09	ENHANCE QUALITY OF LIFE; WORK IN PARTNERSHIP WITH VOLUNTARY								
	priorities will the	ORGANISATIONS AND PARISH COUNCILS; DELIVER HIGH QUALITY SERVICES THAT REPRESENT BEST VALUE AND ARE ACCESSIBLE TO ALL OUR COMMUNITY.								
9	proposal address and									
	how?									
	How will performance	PERFORMANCE INDICATORS WILL BE MAINTAINED								
10	indicators be affected?									
	Is this expenditure	NO								
	required to enable the Council to meet a									
	statutory									
11	requirement? If so,									
	please give a									
	description of the									
	relevant requirement. What will be the	WORKS IDENTIFIED AS NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE FOR HEALTH & SAFETY MAY								
12	implications for the	NOT BE ADDRESSED								
	Council of not									
	proceeding with the									
	proposed investment?									
	How could the same	COUNTY ADULT SERVICES AND CHILD & YOUNG PEOPLE SERVICES NOW HAVE A POLICY AND BUDGET TO SUPPORT MANDATORY DFG. THIS LESSENS THE PRESSURE TO SCDC DISABLED FACILITIES DISCRETIONARY BUDGET.								
13	outcome be achieved									
	without the proposed expenditure?									
	Is there likely to be	AS ABOVE – MONIES SOURCED WHEN REQUIRED.								
	any external funding	NB DISABLED FACILITIES LOANS ARE REPAYABLE UPON DISPOSAL OF THE								
14	contribution? If so,	PROPERTY. REPAID FUNDS CAN BE RE-USED TOWARDS OTHER GRANTS/LOANS.								
1.7	from where? (Please									
	attach a copy of any written confirmation)									
	written commination)	<u> </u>								

	15. Contribution (£000s)	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Total co	ntribution
Financial Year in which contribution is expected to be received								
16. Revenue impact (£000s)		Reason		2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Estimated consequential financial impact on net revenue expenditure of the proposal		Additional:     income     expenditure  Reduction in:     income     expenditure  Total for year						
17	Are any revenue changes likely to continue after 2010/11? If so, please complete the attached schedule?							
18	Brief description of the reasons for any revenue changes shown in 16							

## REVENUE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL FOR FINANCIAL YEARS 2010/11 to 2036/37

## To be completed if appropriate

Financial Year	Estimated	Addition to:	Estimated Reduction in:		
	Income £(000)	Expenditure £(000)	Income £(000)	Expenditure (£000)	
2010/11	, ,				
2011/12					
2012/13					
2013/14					
2014/15					
2015/16					
2016/17					
2017/18					
2018/19					
2019/20					
2020/21					
2021/22					
2022/23					
2023/24					
2024/25					
2025/26					
2026/27					
2027/28					
2028/29					
2029/30					
2030/31					
2031/32					
2033/34					
2034/35					
2035/36					
2036/37					